The geometric meaning of the complex dilatation

Nikolai V. Ivanov

Contents

Introduction

1. Quadratic forms and conformal structures on $\mathbf{C}$
2. Diagonal forms
3. The Poincaré invariant of a quadratic form
4. The dilatation of real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$
5. The action of real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on the complex dilatation
6. Complex vector spaces of dimension 1

References

Introduction

The classical complex dilatation. As usual, we will identify $\mathbf{C}$ with $\mathbf{R}^2$ by the map $x + iy \longmapsto (x, y)$. Let U be an open subset of $\mathbf{C}$ and let $f\colon U \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a map differentiable as a map from $U \subset \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$ to $\mathbf{R}^2$. The complex dilatation μ_f of f is a measure of the distortion of the conformal structure of $\mathbf{C}$ by f. Let us recall its definition following Ahlfors [A]. Let $z = x + iy$ and let

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y).$$

Let $f_x = u_x + iv_x$ and $f_y = u_y + iv_y$ be the usual partial derivatives and let

$$f_z = \bigl(f_x - if_y\bigr)/2, \qquad f_{\bar{z}} = \bigl(f_x + if_y\bigr)/2.$$

The *complex dilatation* of f is defined as the quotient $\mu_f = f_{\bar{z}}/f_z$.

© Nikolai V. Ivanov, 2017. Neither the work reported in this paper, nor its preparation were supported by any governmental or non-governmental agency, foundation, or institution.

It is assumed that f has non-zero Jacobian and is orientation-preserving. This ensures that $f_z \neq 0$ and, moreover, that $|f_z| > |f_{\bar{z}}|$ and hence $|\mu_f| < 1$. In other words, μ_f belongs to the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ in the complex plane. See [A], Section 1.A. We will sometimes refer to μ_f defined as above as the *classical complex dilatation*.

Ahlfors explains the geometric meaning of μ_f as follows. At any given point of U the tangent map T_f of f is a *real* linear map. Since f has non-zero Jacobian, T_f is invertible, and therefore takes circles with the center at the origin into an ellipses. The ratio of the major axis to the minor axis of every such ellipse is equal to the *dilatation*

$$D_f = \frac{|f_z| + |f_{\bar{z}}|}{|f_z| - |f_{\bar{z}}|}.$$

of f. It is related to the absolute value $d_f = |\mu_f|$ of μ_f by the formulas

$$(1) \qquad D_f = \frac{1 + d_f}{1 - d_f}, \qquad d_f = \frac{D_f - 1}{D_f + 1}.$$

The ratio $|T_f(z)| \big/ |z|$ is maximal when $\arg z = \arg \mu_f / 2$.

Therefore, the complex dilation μ_f is characterized by the following two properties.

(i) $d_f = |\mu_f|$ *is related to the ratio* D_f *of the axes of an image ellipse by* (1).

(ii) $\arg \mu_f = 2\alpha$, *where* α *is the direction of the maximal distortion of* T_f.

This characterization is usually considered as the explanation of the geometric meaning of μ_f. Indeed, *the absolute value* $|\mu_f|$ *encodes the shape* of the ellipses to which T_f takes the circles with the center at the origin. These ellipses are circles if and only if $\mu_f = 0$, and if $\mu_f \neq 0$, then *the argument* $\arg \mu_f$ *together with* T_f determines the *direction* of the axes of such an ellipse. Namely, the direction of the major axis is equal to the image under T_f of the direction with the angle $(\arg \mu_f)/2$.

Still, the natural way to encode the shape of an ellipse is to take the ratio of its axes, and D_f seems to be a much more natural measure of the distortion of shapes by f than the absolute value of the complex dilatation. The replacement of D_f by d_f is not justified geometrically at all.

The main goal of this paper is to propose a geometric framework in which the complex dilatation μ_f and, in particular, its absolute value d_f appear naturally. This requires a radical change of the point of view: instead of considering the individual ellipses, one should consider the space of all ellipses with the center at the origin up to homothetic transformations centered at the origin. In other terms, one needs to consider the *space of all conformal structures on* $\mathbf{C}$ (considered as a tangent space to itself).

The argument of the complex dilatation. The two issues related to the argument of μ_f are easier to deal with, but the main one also requires a change in the point of view.

The angle α is defined only up to addition of $\pm\pi$. Replacing it by 2α is a way to kill this indeterminacy. We will see that the expression 2α also appears naturally.

But μ_f determines the direction of the image ellipse only together with T_f. In fact, the *preimages* of circles with the center o under T_f are also ellipses and α is the direction of the minor axes of these ellipses. Therefore, μ_f determines the direction of the preimage, rather than image, ellipses. This crucial feature is rarely mentioned.

An approach to the geometric interpretation of the complex dilatation. By the definition μ_f at a point depend only on the tangent map T_f at this point. In fact, one can define the complex dilatation μ_T of any *orientation-preserving real linear* map $T\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in such a way that $\mu_f = \mu_{T_f}$ for any differentiable map f as above. Namely, let

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the matrix of T with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$. Let

$$T_1 = u_1 + iv_1, \qquad T_2 = u_2 + iv_2, \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_z = (T_1 - iT_2)/2, \qquad T_{\bar{z}} = (T_1 + iT_2)/2,$$

and define the *complex dilatation* of T as $\mu_T = T_{\bar{z}} / T_z$. Clearly, $\mu_f = \mu_{T_f}$ for any differentiable map f as above, and Alhfors's interpretation of μ_f applies mutatis mutandis to μ_T. Therefore, the real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are at heart of the matter. Our approach is based on the following observations.

• A natural measure of distortion of the conformal structure of $\mathbf{C}$ by a *real linear map* $T\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is the pull-back by T of the standard conformal structure on $\mathbf{C}$.

• The set $U(\mathbf{C})$ of all conformal structures on $\mathbf{C}$ carries a canonical structure of a model of the hyperbolic plane. In fact, $U(\mathbf{C})$ can be naturally identified with the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ together with its structure of the Klein model of the hyperbolic plane.

• The standard isomorphism of the Klein model with the Poincaré unit disc model transforms this measure of distortion into the classical complex dilatation.

The angle 2α naturally appears already in the Klein model, and the replacement of D_f by d_f results from the transition from the Klein to the Poincaré model.

The action of real linear maps. Let $u\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a real linear orientation-preserving isomorphism. Then there is a unique map $u^*\colon \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\mu_{T\circ u} = u^*(\mu_T) \tag{2}$$

for any orientation-preserving real linear map $T\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Moreover, $u^*\colon \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ is complex-analytic. Let us quote J.H. Hubbard [H], p. 162:

> The fact that u^* is analytic has far-reaching consequences. When you dig down to where the complex analytic structure of Teichmüller space comes down from (a highly nontrivial result, with a rich a contentious history, involving Ahlfors, Rauch, Grothendieck, Bers, and many others), you will find that this is the foundation of it all (see ...). Thus do little acorns into mighty oak trees grow.

Uniqueness of u^* is straightforward. The complex-analyticity can be proved by a direct calculation. See [H], Proposition 4.8.10.

The approach of this paper offers a conceptual explanation of the complex-analyticity. Taking the pull-backs of conformal structures by u leads a map $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{C})$. By the very definition of the Klein model of the hyperbolic plane, this induced map is its automorphism. Passing to the Poincaré model turns this map into an automorphism $u^*\colon \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ of the latter. The property (2) is immediate, and hence this recovers the classical map u^*. On the other hand, it is well known that the automorphisms of the Poincaré model are complex-analytic.

The paper. The main part of the paper, namely Sections 1 – 5, is devoted to a theory of the complex dilatation of real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ based on the above observations. In Section 6 this theory is used to with real linear maps $V \longrightarrow W$ from one complex vector space of dimension 1 to another. The complex dilatation of such a map is not a number, but a tensor. In order not to obscure the main ideas, the author resisted the temptation to present this theory in such generality from the very beginning. A general and abstract version of this theory is the topic of the accompanying paper [I3].

The present paper grow out of the observation that the replacement of D_f by d_f corresponds to the transition from the Klein to the Poincaré model of the hyperbolic plane. An exposition [I2] of this idea was written back in 1995. It has its own merits, being a straightforward and down-to-earth one. But it turned out to be too down-to-earth to allow a coherent and aesthetically satisfying treatment of the maps $V \longrightarrow W$.

The note [I2], which eventually led to the present paper, was written in response to a question about the geometric meaning of the complex dilatation asked by J.D. McCarthy. I am grateful to him for his interest and many conversations.

1. Quadratic forms and conformal structures on $\mathbf{C}$

Conformal structures. A *quadratic form on a real vector space* V is a function $q\colon V \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $q(v) = B(v, v)$ for some *symmetric bilinear form* $B\colon V \times V \to \mathbf{R}$ and all $v \in V$. The bilinear form B can be reconstructed from the quadratic form q as its *polarization*

$$B_q(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}\big(q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)\big).$$

A quadratic form q is said to be *positive definite* if $q(v) > 0$ for all $v \neq 0$, and *negative definite* if $q(v) < 0$ for all $v \neq 0$. It is said to be *definite* if it is either positive or negative definite. By a *quadratic form on a complex vector space* we will understand a quadratic form on the underlying real vector space.

A *conformal structure* on a real or complex vector space V is defined as a definite quadratic form on V considered up to multiplication by a non-zero real number, or, equivalently, as a positive definite quadratic form considered up to multiplication by a positive real number. The conformal structure determined by a quadratic form q is called the *conformal class of* q and denoted by $[q]$.

The set $U(V)$ of all conformal structures on V is a subspace of the *projective space* $\mathbb{P}Q(V)$ associated with the vector *space* $Q(V)$ *of quadratic forms on* V. Namely, $U(V)$ is the image in $\mathbb{P}Q(V)$ of the set of all definite forms.

Pull-backs. Let V, W be two (either real or complex) vector spaces and $L\colon V \longrightarrow W$ be a real linear map. The *pull-back* L^*p of a map $p\colon W \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by L is the composition

$$L^*p = p \circ L\colon V \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

If U is another vector space and $K\colon U \longrightarrow V$ is a linear map, then $(L \circ K)^* = K^* \circ L^*$.

If $p \in Q(W)$, then $L^*p \in Q(V)$. If L is a linear isomorphism, then L^*q is a definite form if and only if q is. The pull-back $L^*[q]$ of a conformal structure $[q]$ is defined as $[L^*q]$. Obviously, $L^*[q]$ is correctly defined.

Quadratic forms on $\mathbf{C}$. Let $X, Y\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the basis of $\hom_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R})$ dual to the basis $1, i$ of $\mathbf{C}$ as a real vector space. Then

$$X(z) = \operatorname{Re} z, \quad Y(z) = \operatorname{Im} z$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Equivalently, if $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, then $X(x + iy) = x$, $Y(x + iy) = y$.

As is well known, q is a quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}$ if and only if there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}$

such that $q(x + iy) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ or, what is the same,

$$q = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2.$$

Clearly, (X^2, XY, Y^2) is basis of $Q(\mathbf{C})$, and the above coefficients a, b, c are the coordinates of q with respect to this basis. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} Q(\mathbf{C}) = 3$.

The determinant. It is well known that the quadratic form $q = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ is definite if and only if its *determinant* (see [C] for a definition in the general case)

$$D(q) = D(a, b, c) = ac - (b^2/4)$$

is positive, i.e. $D(q) = ac - (b^2/4) > 0$. In other terms, $[q] \in U(\mathbf{C})$ if and only if $D(q) > 0$. The crucial fact is that D itself is a quadratic form on $Q(\mathbf{C})$. This phenomenon is specific for dimension 2.

The (t, r, s)-coordinates. The change of coordinates

$$(a, b, c) = \big(t + r, s, t - r\big),$$
$$(t, r, s) = \big((a + c)/2, (a - c)/2, b/2\big)$$

on $Q(\mathbf{C})$ puts D into the diagonal form $D(t, r, s) = t^2 - r^2 - s^2$. The corresponding basis of $Q(\mathbf{C})$ consists of quadratic forms

$$\mathbf{n} = X^2 + Y^2, \quad \mathbf{r} = X^2 - Y^2, \quad \mathbf{i} = 2XY. \tag{3}$$

In addition to diagonalizing the determinant, the coordinates (t, r, s) and the basis $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{i})$ have the advantage of being closely related to the structure of $\mathbf{C}$. In fact,

$$\mathbf{n}(z) = |z|^2 = z\bar{z}, \quad \mathbf{r}(z) = \operatorname{Re} z^2, \quad \mathbf{i}(z) = \operatorname{Im} z^2$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. In particular, $[\mathbf{n}]$ is the standard conformal structure on $\mathbf{C}$.

A direct sum decomposition of $Q(\mathbf{C})$. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a copy of $\mathbf{C}$, which we will treat as a complex vector space. Let us identify $Q(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ by the map

$$(t, r, s) \longmapsto (t, r + is).$$

After the identification $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ the determinant D takes the form

$$D(t, z) = t^2 - |z|^2 = t^2 - z\bar{z}.$$

The space $U(\mathbf{C})$ of conformal structures on $\mathbf{C}$ is defined by the homogeneous inequality $t^2 - |z|^2 > 0$. It follows that if (t, z) represents a point in $U(\mathbf{C})$, then $t \neq 0$.

The multiplication maps. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbf{C}$, and let $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$. The *multiplication map* $m_\tau \colon V \longrightarrow V$ is the map defined by

$$m_\tau \colon v \longmapsto \tau v.$$

Taking pull-backs by m_τ leads to the induced map

$$m_\tau^* \colon Q(V) \longrightarrow Q(V).$$

If $\tau \neq 0$, then m_τ^* induces a map $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^* \colon \mathbb{P}Q(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}Q(V)$.

Until Section 6 we will need only the case of $V = \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

1.1. Lemma. *The map* $m_\tau^* \colon Q(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow Q(\mathbf{C})$ *respects the direct sum decomposition* $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. *It acts on the summand* $\mathbf{R}$ *as the multiplication by* $|\tau|^2$ *and on the summand* $\mathbb{C}$ *as the multiplication map* m_ρ, *where* $\rho = \overline{\tau}^2$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Obviously, if $z \in \mathbf{C}$, then

$$m_\tau^* \mathbf{n}(z) = |\tau z|^2 = |\tau|^2 |z|^2,$$

$$m_\tau^* \mathbf{r}(z) = \operatorname{Re} \tau^2 z^2, \quad \text{and}$$

$$m_\tau^* \mathbf{i}(z) = \operatorname{Im} \tau^2 z^2.$$

If we present τ^2 in the form $\tau^2 = \alpha + i\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \tau^2 z^2 = \alpha \operatorname{Re} z^2 - \beta \operatorname{Im} z^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \tau^2 z^2 = \beta \operatorname{Re} z^2 + \alpha \operatorname{Im} z^2$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. It follows that $m_\tau^* \mathbf{n} = |\tau|^2 \mathbf{n}$ and

$$m_\tau^* \mathbf{r} = \alpha \mathbf{r} - \beta \mathbf{i}, \qquad m_\tau^* \mathbf{i} = \beta \mathbf{r} + \alpha \mathbf{i}, \tag{4}$$

and hence m_τ^* leaves both summands $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ invariant, and acts on $\mathbf{R}$ as the multiplication by $|\tau|^2$. Under the identification $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ the forms $\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{i}$ correspond to 1, $i \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively, and the formulas (4) take the form

$$m_\tau^*(1) = \alpha - \beta i, \qquad m_\tau^*(i) = \beta + \alpha i. \tag{5}$$

Therefore, m_τ^* acts on $\mathbb{C}$ as the multiplication by $\alpha - i\beta = \overline{\tau}^2$. ■

The plane $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$**.** The plane $\mathbb{C} = 0 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ in $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to a projective line in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}Q(\mathbf{C})$. The complement $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ to this projective line is an affine plane. It plays a central role in the rest of the paper. The map

$$(t,\, z) \longmapsto z/t \in \mathbb{C}$$

allows us to identify $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}$, and, in particular, turns $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ into a complex vector space. Under this identification $[\mathfrak{n}]$ corresponds to 0 and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{C})$ to

$$\mathbb{U} \;=\; \{u + iv \in \mathbb{C} \mid u^2 + v^2 < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{U}$ may be considered as the *space of conformal structures on* $\mathbf{C}$. It turns out that $\mathbb{U}$ should be considered with its structure of the *Klein model of hyperbolic geometry*.

1.2. Theorem. *Suppose that* $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$ *and* $\tau \neq 0$. *Then the map* $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ *leaves both* $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{C})$ *invariant. In particular,* $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ *induces a map*

$$m_\tau^{**} \colon \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C}).$$

The identification of $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ *with* $\mathbb{C}$ *turns* m_τ^{**} *into the multiplication map*

$$m_\sigma \colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \textit{where} \quad \sigma \;=\; \overline{\tau}/\tau\,.$$

Proof. Lemma 1.1 implies that $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ leaves the projective line corresponding to the plane $\mathbb{C}$ in $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ invariant and hence leaves $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ invariant. Lemma 1.1 also implies that if $m_\tau^*(t,\, z) \;=\; (t',\, z')$, then $|t'| \;=\; |\tau|^2|t'|$ and $|z'| \;=\; |\tau|^2|z'|$. It follows that m_τ^* leaves the cone in $Q(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the inequality $t^2 - |z|^2 > 0$ invariant. Hence the induced map $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ leaves $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{C})$ invariant.

Let $(t,\, z) \in \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ be a representative of $\mu \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$. By Lemma 1.1

$$m_\tau^*(t,\, z) \;=\; (|\tau|^2\, t,\; \overline{\tau}^2 z)$$

and hence $(|\tau|^2\, t,\; \overline{\tau}^2 z)$ is a representative of $m_\tau^{**}(\mu)$. Hence, as an element of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$m_\tau^{**}(\mu) \;=\; \overline{\tau}^2 z \Big/ |\tau|^2\, t \;=\; \overline{\tau}^2 \big/ |\tau|^2 \,\big(z/t\big).$$

It follows that the identification of $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}$ turns m_τ^{**} into the map m_σ, where

$$\sigma \;=\; \overline{\tau}^2 \big/ |\tau|^2 \;=\; \overline{\tau}^2 \big/ \tau\overline{\tau} \;=\; \overline{\tau}/\tau\,.$$

The theorem follows. ■

1.3. Corollary. *If* $q \in Q(\mathbf{C})$ *is a definite form and* $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$, $\tau \neq 0$, *then*

$$[m_\tau^* q] = (\overline{\tau}/\tau)[q] \in \mathbb{C}. \quad \blacksquare$$

1.4. Corollary. *There is a unique conformal structure on* $\mathbf{C}$ *fixed by all maps* $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ *with* $\tau \neq 0$. *It is equal to the conformal class* $[\mathfrak{n}]$ *of* $\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof. Theorem 1.2 implies that a conformal structure on $\mathbf{C}$ is fixed by all maps $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ with $\tau \neq 0$ if and only if as a point of $\mathbb{U}$ it is fixed by the multiplication by all $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ of the form $\sigma = \overline{\tau}/\tau$ with $\tau \neq 0$. But σ has such form if and only if $|\sigma| = 1$, and there is exactly one point of $\mathbb{U}$ fixed by the multiplication by all such σ, namely, the point 0. Since 0 corresponds to $[\mathfrak{n}]$, this proves the corollary. $\blacksquare$

2. Diagonal forms

Standard forms. Let $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$ and let us define $q_{a,c} \in Q(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$q_{a,c}(x + iy) = ax^2 + cy^2.$$

In other terms, $q_{a,c} = aX^2 + cY^2$.

Obviously, $q_{a,c}$ is positive definite if and only if $a, c > 0$ and is negatively definite if and only if $a, c < 0$. Hence $q_{a,c}$ is definite if and only if a, c are of the same sign.

Rotations. Let $r_\theta : \mathbf{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ be the counter-clockwise rotation by an angle $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ around the origin $(0, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. As is well known, the standard identification $\mathbf{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ turns r_θ into the multiplication map $m_\tau : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where $\tau = e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta$.

2.1. Lemma. *For every quadratic form* q *on* $\mathbf{C}$ *there exist* $a, c, \theta \in \mathbf{R}$ *such that*

$$q = m_\tau^* q_{a,c},$$

where $\tau = e^{i\theta}$. *Moreover, one can assume that* $|a| \geqslant |c|$.

Proof. Let $q \in Q(\mathbf{C})$. Let us treat quadratic forms on $\mathbf{C}$ as quadratic forms on $\mathbf{R}^2$.

By the spectral theorem for symmetric operators in $\mathbf{R}^2$ there is a basis (v, w) of $\mathbf{R}^2$ orthonormal with respect to $\mathfrak{n}(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ and orthogonal with respect to q. After interchanging v and w, if necessary, we may assume that (v, w) has the same

orientation as the standard basis. Then there is a rotation r_θ taking (v, w) to the standard basis of $\mathbf{R}^2$. If r_θ is such a rotation, then $q = r_\theta^* q_{a,c}$ for some $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $r_{\pi/2}^* q_{a,c} = q_{c,a}$ and $r_\theta^* \circ r_{\pi/2}^* = r_{\theta+\pi/2}^*$, we can assume that $|a| \geqslant |c|$.

Considering q and $q_{a,c}$ as quadratic forms on $\mathbf{C}$ again completes the proof. ■

2.2. Lemma. *Let $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$. Suppose that a, c are positive and $\tau \neq 0$. Then the conformal class $[q]$ of the quadratic form*

$$q = m_\tau^* q_{a,c}$$

is equal to $(\overline{\tau}/\tau)\,\dfrac{a-c}{a+c} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The (t, r, s)-coordinates of $q_{a,c}$ are $\big((a+c)/2, (a-c)/2, 0\big)$ and hence

$$q_{a,c} = \big((a+c)/2, (a-c)/2\big) \in \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{C}$$

after the identification $Q(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{C}$. It follows that

$$[q_{a,c}] = \frac{a-c}{a+c} \in \mathbb{C}$$

after the identification $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbb{C}$. The map m_τ^{**} is induced by $\mathbb{P}m_\tau^*$ and hence

$$[m_\tau^* q_{a,c}] = m_\tau^{**}[q_{a,c}] = m_\tau^{**}\left(\frac{a-c}{a+c}\right).$$

It remains to apply Theorem 1.2. ■

3. The Poincaré invariant of a quadratic form

From the Klein model to the Poincaré model. The open unit disc $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the set of points of the Klein model of hyperbolic geometry. It is also the set of points of the Poincaré model of hyperbolic geometry. There is a canonical isomorphism between these two structures on $\mathbb{U}$. In order to describe it, let us use the upper hemisphere

$$S_+^2 = \{(u + iv, w) \mid u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 1,\ w > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{R}$$

as an intermediary between the Klein and the Poincaré models.

Let π be the orthogonal projection of the upper hemisphere S^2_+ to the equatorial disc

$$\{(u + iv,\, w) \mid u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 1,\ \ w - 0\},$$

identified with $\mathbb{U} = \{(u + iv) \in \mathbb{C} \mid u^2 + v^2 < 1\}$. In other terms,

$$\pi(u + iv,\, w) = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let S be the stereographic projection of the upper hemisphere S^2_+ to the equatorial disc (identified with $\mathbb{U}$ as above) from the point $s = (0 + i0, -1)$. Then the map $\Omega = S \circ \pi^{-1}$ transforms the Klein structure of the hyperbolic plane on $\mathbb{U}$ into the Poincaré one. See, for example, Section 2 of [I1] for a detailed proof.

The Poincaré invariant of quadratic forms on $\mathbf{C}$. For every definite quadratic form q on $\mathbf{C}$ we define its *Poincaré invariant* $P(q)$ as the image

$$P(q) = \Omega\big([q]\big) \in \mathbb{U}$$

of its conformal class $[q]$ under the map Ω.

3.1. Lemma. *Suppose that* $q \in Q(\mathbf{C})$ *and* $\tau \in \mathbf{C}^*$. *Then*

$$P(m^*_\tau\, q) = (\overline{\tau}/\tau)\, P(q).$$

Proof. Since the map Ω obviously commutes with the multiplication maps m_σ for $|\sigma| = 1$, this lemma immediately follows from Corollary 1.3. ■

3.2. Lemma. *Suppose that* $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\gamma > 0$, $|\sigma| = 1$.

$$\textit{If} \quad p = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2}\,\sigma, \qquad \textit{then} \qquad \Omega(p) = \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \gamma}\,\sigma\,.$$

Proof. The counter-clockwise rotation of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{R}$ along the vertical axis $0 \times \mathbf{R}$ by the angle $-\arg\sigma$ reduces the proof to the case $\sigma = 1$. In this case everything happens in the vertical coordinate plane $v = 0$, and we can ignore the v-coordinate and write

$$p = \left(\frac{1 - \gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2},\ 0\right).$$

By using the well known identity

$$\left(\frac{1 - \gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2\gamma}{1 + \gamma^2}\right)^2 = 1$$

and the assumption $\gamma > 0$, we see that

$$\pi^{-1}(p) \;=\; \left(\frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2},\; \frac{2\gamma}{1+\gamma^2}\right).$$

We need to prove that the stereographic projection S takes this point to

$$\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma},\; 0\right).$$

By the definition of S this means that the points

$$(0,-1), \qquad \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma},\; 0\right), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2},\; \frac{2\gamma}{1+\gamma^2}\right)$$

are contained in the same line. This happens if and only if the vectors

$$\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma},\; 0\right) \;-\; (0,-1) \;=\; \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma},\; 1\right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2},\; \frac{2\gamma}{1+\gamma^2}\right) \;-\; (0,-1) \;=\; \left(\frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2},\; \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{1+\gamma^2}\right)$$

are proportional, i.e. if and only if

$$\frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2} \Big/ \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} \;=\; \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{1+\gamma^2}\,.$$

A straightforward verification of this identity completes the proof. ■

3.3. Corollary. *Suppose that* $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $|\mu| < 1$. *Then*

$$\Omega^{-1}(\mu) \;=\; \frac{2\mu}{1+|\mu|^2}\,.$$

Proof. Let $r = |\mu|$, $\sigma = \mu/r$, and $\gamma = \dfrac{1-r}{1+r}$. Then $|\sigma| = 1$, $\gamma > 0$ and

$$r \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}\,, \qquad \frac{2r}{1+r^2} \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}\,\sigma\,.$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\Omega^{-1}(\mu) \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2}\,\sigma \;=\; \frac{2r}{1+r^2}\,\sigma \;=\; \frac{2\mu}{1+|\mu|^2}\,. \qquad \blacksquare$$

3.4. Lemma. *If the form* $q = m_\tau^* q_{a,c}$ *is definite, then* c/a *is positive and*

$$P(q) \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}\,(\overline{\tau}/\tau),$$

where γ *is the positive square root* $\sqrt{c/a}$*.*

Proof. If $m_\tau^* q_{a,c}$ is definite, then $q_{a,c}$ is also definite, and hence the real numbers a, c are of the same sign. Therefore c/a is positive.

By Lemma 2.2

$$[q] \;=\; \frac{a-c}{a+c}\,(\overline{\tau}/\tau)$$

$$=\; \frac{1-c/a}{1+c/a}\,(\overline{\tau}/\tau) \;=\; \frac{1-\gamma^2}{1+\gamma^2}\,(\overline{\tau}/\tau)$$

An application of Lemma 3.2 completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

4. The dilatation of real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$

The Poincaré dilatation of a real linear map $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$. For an invertible real linear map $T\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the pull-back $T^*[\mathbf{n}] \in \mathbb{U}$ is a natural measure of distortion of the standard conformal structure $[\mathbf{n}]$ by T. The *Poincaré conformal dilatation*

$$\pi_T \;=\; \Omega\left(T^*[\mathbf{n}]\right)$$

of T is defined as the image of $T^*[\mathbf{n}]$ under the isomorphism Ω between the Klein and the Poincaré models of the hyperbolic plane.

In order to relate π_T with the classical complex dilatation μ_T of T, let us consider the image E of the unit circle in $\mathbf{C}$ under T. As is well known, E is an ellipse with the center at the origin.

4.1. Theorem. (i) *Let* D *be the ratio of the major axis of* E *to the minor axis. Then*

$$|\pi_T| = \frac{D-1}{D+1}.$$

(ii) *If* α *is an angle between* $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{C}$ *and a direction of the maximal distortion of* T*, then*

$$\arg \pi_T = 2\alpha.$$

Proof. Let $q = T^*\mathfrak{n}$. Then $\pi_T = P(q)$ and

$$q(z) = T^*\mathfrak{n}(z) = \mathfrak{n}(T(z)) = \|T(z)\|^2$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$.

By Lemma 2.1, $q = m_\tau^* q_{a,c}$ for some $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|\tau| = 1$ and $|a| \geqslant |c|$. Since q is positive definite together with $\mathfrak{n}$, the real numbers a, c are positive and $a \geqslant c$. Let $\gamma = \sqrt{a/c}$.

If $z \in \mathbf{C}$, then $\|T(z)\|^2 = q(z) = m_\tau^* q_{a,c}(z)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(z)\|^2 &= m_\tau^* q_{a,c}(z) \\ &= q_{a,c}(m_\tau(z)) = q_{a,c}(\tau z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\|z\|^2 = \|\tau z\|^2$ because $|\tau| = 1$. It follows that the square of the distortion of T in the direction of a non-zero vector $z \in \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$ is equal to

$$\frac{\|T(z)\|^2}{\|z\|^2} = \frac{\|q_{a,c}(\tau z)\|^2}{\|z\|^2} = \frac{\|q_{a,c}(\tau z)\|^2}{\|\tau z\|^2}.$$

Since $a \geqslant c$, the ratio

$$\frac{\|q_{a,c}(w)\|^2}{\|w\|^2}$$

achieves its maximum a when $w \in \mathbf{R}$, and its minimum c when $w \in i\mathbf{R}$. Hence

$$\frac{\|T(z)\|}{\|z\|}$$

achieves its maximum $\sqrt{a}$ when $\tau z \in \mathbf{R}$, and its minimum $\sqrt{c}$ when $\tau z \in i\mathbf{R}$.

The length of the major axis of the ellipsis E is equal to the the maximal distortion of T, i.e. to $\sqrt{a}$, and the length of its minor axis is equal to the the minimal distortion, i.e. to $\sqrt{c}$. It follows that the ratio D of the axes of E is equal to $\gamma = \sqrt{a/c}$. Since $|\overline{\tau}/\tau| = 1$, this fact together with Lemma 3.4 implies the part (i) of the lemma.

The distortion of T in the direction of vector z is maximal when $w \in \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{C}$. Equivalently, the distortion is maximal when $\arg(\tau z) = 0$ or π. It follows that the distortion in the direction of vector z is maximal if and only if $\arg z = -\arg\tau$ or $-\arg\tau + \pi$. Therefore $\alpha = -\arg\tau$ or $-\arg\tau + \pi$ and hence $2\alpha = -2\arg\tau$. On the other hand, $2\arg\tau = -\arg(\overline{\tau}/\tau)$ and Lemma 3.4 implies that $\arg(\overline{\tau}/\tau) = \arg\pi_T$. Therefore, $2\alpha = \arg\pi_T$. This proves the part (ii) of the lemma. ■

4.2. Corollary. *The Poincaré conformal dilatation of a real linear orientation-preserving automorphism $T\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is equal to its classical complex dilatation.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, the Poincaré conformal dilatation satisfies properties (i) and (ii) from the Introduction (or, rather, their analogues for real linear maps) characterizing the classical complex dilatation. ■

5. The action of real linear maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$

Automorphisms induced by a real linear invertible maps $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Suppose that $u\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a real linear invertible map. Then

$$u^*\colon Q(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow Q(\mathbf{C})$$

is an automorphism of the real vector space $Q(\mathbf{C})$ and hence induces an automorphism

$$\mathbb{P}u^*\colon \mathbb{P}Q(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}Q(\mathbf{C})$$

of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}Q(\mathbf{C})$, which we denoted simply by u^* before. Clearly, a quadratic form $q \in Q(\mathbf{C})$ is definite if and only if the pull-back u^*q is definite, and hence $\mathbb{P}u^*$ leaves the space $U(\mathbf{C})$ of conformal structures on $\mathbf{C}$ invariant.

After the identification of $Q(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}$ the space $U(\mathbf{C})$ turns into the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and the automorphism $\mathbb{P}u^*$ turns into an automorphism of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ leaving $\mathbb{U}$ invariant.

The structure of the Klein model of the hyperbolic plane on $\mathbb{U}$ is defined in terms of $\mathbb{U}$ and the geometry of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbb{C})$. Therefore this structure is invariant

under any automorphism of this projective plane leaving $\mathbb{U}$ invariant. In particular, it is invariant under $\mathbb{P}u^*$. In other terms, $\mathbb{P}u^*$ is an automorphism of the Klein model.

Passing to the Poincaré model by the map of the hyperbolic plane turns $\mathbb{P}u^*$ into an automorphism of the Poincaré model. In more details, using the map Ω to pass to the Poincaré model turns $\mathbb{P}u^*$ into the map (denoted by u^* again)

$$u^* \;=\; \Omega \circ \mathbb{P}u^* \circ \Omega^{-1} : \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$$

and this map is an automorphism of the Poincaré unit disc model. If u is orientation preserving, then this automorphism $\mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ is also orientation-preserving. Indeed, in this case u can be connected by a path of invertible real linear maps with $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$, and hence u^* can be connected by a path of automorphisms $\mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}$ with $\mathrm{id}^*_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{U}}$.

5.1. Lemma. *If* $T : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ *is a real linear invertible map, then*

$$\pi_{T\circ u} \;=\; u^*(\pi_T).$$

Proof. Obviously, $(T\circ u)^*\mathbf{n} \;=\; (u^*\circ T^*)(\mathbf{n}) \;=\; u^*(T^*\mathbf{n})$ and hence

$$[(T\circ u)^*\mathbf{n}] \;=\; \mathbb{P}u^*\big([T^*\mathbf{n}]\big).$$

By applying Ω to the both part of this equality we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{T\circ u} \;&=\; \Omega\big([(T\circ u)^*\mathbf{n}]\big)\\ &=\; \Omega\circ\mathbb{P}u^*\circ\Omega^{-1}\big(\Omega[T^*\mathbf{n}]\big) \;=\; u^*(\pi_T).\end{aligned}$$ ■

5.2. Theorem. *If* u *is orientation-preserving, then* u^* *is complex analytic and*

$$\mu_{T\circ u} \;=\; u^*(\mu_T)$$

for every real linear orientation-preserving invertible map $T : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Proof. If u is orientation-preserving, then u^* is orientation-preserving by the remarks preceding Lemma 5.1. Since every orientation-preserving automorphism of the Poincaré model is complex analytic, it follows that u^* is complex analytic. Moreover, if u is orientation-preserving, then $T\circ u$ is orientation-preserving together with T. Therefore, Corollary 4.2 implies that

$$\mu_{T\circ u} \;=\; \pi_{T\circ u} \quad\text{and}\quad \mu_T \;=\; \pi_T\,.$$

It remains to apply Lemma 5.1. ■

6. Complex vector spaces of dimension 1

Quadratic forms associated with non-zero vectors. Let V be a complex vector space of dimension 1. A basis of V over $\mathbf{C}$ is just a non-zero vector $v \in V$. Hence, for any non-zero $v \in V$ there is a unique isomorphism of complex vector spaces $f_v \colon V \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ taking v to $1 \in \mathbf{C}$. For a non-zero vector $v \in V$ we will denote by q_v the pull-back form $f_v^* n$. If $V = \mathbf{C}$ and $v = 1$, then $f_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and hence $q_1 = n$.

6.1. Lemma. *Let u, v be two non-zero vectors in V. Let a be the unique complex number such that $v = au$. Then $f_u(v) = a$ and $f_u = af_v = m_a \circ f_v$.*

Proof. Obviously, $f_u(v) = f_u(au) = af_u(u) = a1 = a$ and $af_v(v) = a1 = a$. Hence f_u and af_v agree on the basis of V formed by the vector v. It follows that $f_u = af_v$. Since $af_v = m_a \circ f_v$, this completes the proof. ■

6.2. Lemma. *Let u, v be two non-zero vectors in V, and let a be the unique complex number such that $v = au$. Then $q_v = |a|^{-2} q_u$.*

Proof. Let us consider the case $V = \mathbf{C}$, $u = 1$ first. In this case $v = a$ and we need to prove that $q_a = |a|^{-2} n$. Let $b = a^{-1}$. Then $m_b(a) = 1$, and hence $f_a = m_b$. It follows that $q_a = m_b^* n$. By Section 1 $m_b^* n = |b|^2 n$, and hence

$$q_a = m_b^* n = |b|^2 n = |a|^{-2} n.$$

This proves the lemma in the case $V = \mathbf{C}$, $u = 1$.

In the general case $f_u(v) = a$ by Lemma 6.1 and $f_a(a) = 1$ by the definition of f_a. It follows that $f_a \circ f_u(v) = f_a(f_u(v)) = f_a(a) = 1$ and hence $f_v = f_a \circ f_u$ and

$$q_v = f_v^* n = (f_a \circ f_u)^* n = f_u^* (f_a^* n) = f_u^* q_a.$$

By the already proved special case, $q_a = |a|^{-2} q_1 = |a|^{-2} n$. It follows that

$$q_v = f_u^* q_a = f_u^* (|a|^{-2} n) = |a|^{-2} f_u^* n = |a|^{-2} q_u. \qquad ■$$

6.3. Corollary. *The conformal class of q_v does not depend on the choice of non-zero $v \in V$.*

Proof. Indeed, if u, v are non-zero vectors in V, then $v = au$ for some non-zero $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and hence $q_v = |a|^{-2} q_u$. Since $|a|^{-2}$ is a positive real number, the quadratic forms q_w and q_v have the same conformal class. ■

The conformal dilatation of real linear maps. Let V, W be complex vector spaces of dimension 1. Let $T\colon V \longrightarrow W$ be a real-linear map. Let us choose non-zero vectors $v \in V$, $w \in W$. If we identify both V and W with $\mathbf{C}$ by the maps $f_v\colon V \to \mathbf{C}$ and $f_w\colon W \to \mathbf{C}$ respectively, the map T will turn into a real linear map $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, allowing to speak about its Poincaré complex dilatation as defined in Section 4. Using these identification is equivalent to replacing T by the map $f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1}$ considered as a map $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Naively, one may try to define the Poincaré conformal dilatation of T as the Poincaré complex dilatation of $f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1}$, but, in fact, the latter depends on the choices of v and w. Our next goal is to understand this dependence.

Let us denote the Poincaré conformal dilatation of $f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1}$ by $\pi_T(v, w)$. The next lemma shows that actually $\pi_T(v, w)$ does not depend on w, and later we will denote the Poincaré complex dilatation of $f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1}$ by $\pi_T(v)$.

6.4. Lemma. *$\pi_T(v, w)$ does not depend on w.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the conformal class of the form $(f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1})^* n$ does not depend on w. Since

$$(f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1})^* n \;=\; (T \circ f_v^{-1})^* (f_w^* n) \;=\; (T \circ f_v^{-1})^* q_w,$$

Corollary 6.3 implies that this is indeed the case. ■

6.5. Lemma. *Let u, v be two non-zero vectors in V, and let a be the unique complex number such that $v = au$. Let $\sigma = \overline{a}/a$. Then $\pi_T(v) = \sigma\, \pi_T(u)$.*

Proof. Let us choose some non-zero vector $w \in W$. By the definition, $\pi_T(v)$ and $\pi_T(u)$ are equal to the Poincaré complex dilatations of the maps $f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1}$ and $f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1}$ respectively. By Lemma 6.1 $f_u = m_a \circ f_v$ and hence $f_v = m_a^{-1} \circ f_u$. Therefore $f_v^{-1} = f_u^{-1} \circ m_a$ and

$$f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1} \;=\; f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1} \circ m_a \;=\; \bigl(f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1}\bigr) \circ m_a.$$

It follows that

$$(f_w \circ T \circ f_v^{-1})^* \mathbf{n} \;=\; ((f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1}) \circ m_a)^* \mathbf{n} \;=\; m_a^* \,((f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1})^* \mathbf{n}). \tag{6}$$

Let $q = (f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1})^* \mathbf{n}$. Then (6) implies that $(f_w \circ T \circ f_u^{-1})^* \mathbf{n} = m_a^*\, q$, and hence

$$\pi_T(u) \;=\; P(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_T(v) \;=\; P(m_a^*\, q).$$

It remains to apply Lemma 3.1. ■

The dual and the conjugate vector spaces. Let V be a complex vector space. Its *dual* vector space V^* has as vectors the complex linear maps $V \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. If $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and $f \in V^*$, then af is defined by $af(v) = a(f(v)) = f(av)$.

The *conjugate* vector space $\overline{V}$ of V is equal to V as a real vector space, but the multiplication by $a \in \mathbf{C}$ in $\overline{V}$ is defined as the multiplication by $\overline{a}$ in V. We will denote the multiplication by a in $\overline{V}$ by $v \longmapsto a \cdot v$, so that $a \cdot v = \overline{a}v$.

Suppose now that the complex dimension of V is 1. Every non-zero $v \in V$ forms a basis of both V and $\overline{V}$. The dual basis of $\overline{V}^*$ consists of the map $v^\bullet \colon \overline{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $v^\bullet(w) = \overline{f_v(w)}$. It is complex linear because

$$v^\bullet(a \cdot w) = \overline{f_v(a \cdot w)} = \overline{f_v(\overline{a}w)}$$

$$= \overline{\overline{a}\, f_v(w)} = a\overline{f_v(w)} = av^\bullet(w)$$

for every $a \in \mathbf{C}$, $w \in \overline{V}$. The tensor product $\overline{V}^* \otimes V$ is also of complex dimension 1. Any non-zero vector $v \in V$ leads to a basis of $\overline{V}^* \otimes V$ consisting of the vector $v^\bullet \otimes v$.

6.6. Theorem. *Let V, W be complex vector spaces of dimension 1. Let $T \colon V \longrightarrow W$ be a real linear map. Then $\pi_T(v)\,(v^\bullet \otimes v)$ does not depend on the choice of a non-zero $v \in V$.*

Proof. Suppose that $v, u \in V$ are non-zero. Then $v = au$ for some $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$. By Lemma 6.1 $f_u = af_v$. It follows that $u^\bullet = \overline{a}\,v^\bullet$. Therefore $(\overline{a})^{-1}u^\bullet = v^\bullet$ and

$$v^\bullet \otimes v = \left((\overline{a})^{-1}u^\bullet\right) \otimes au = (a/\overline{a})\,(u^\bullet \otimes u)$$

By Lemma 6.5 $\pi_T(v) = (\overline{a}/a)\,\pi_T(u)$. It follows that

$$\pi_T(v)\,(v^\bullet \otimes v) = (\overline{a}/a)\,\pi_T(u)\,(v^\bullet \otimes v)$$

$$= (\overline{a}/a)\,\pi_T(u)\,(a/\overline{a})\,(u^\bullet \otimes u) = \pi_T(u)\,(u^\bullet \otimes u)\,.$$

Therefore, $\pi_T(v)\,(v^\bullet \otimes v)$ indeed does not depend on the choice of v.

Poincaré conformal dilatation as a tensor. In view of Theorem 6.6 we can define the *Poincaré conformal dilatation* π_T of T by the formula

$$\pi_T = \pi_T(v)\,(v^\bullet \otimes v) \in \overline{V}^* \otimes V$$

for any non-zero $v \in V$. Suppose that $V = W = \mathbf{C}$. In this case the identification of $\overline{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ by the isomorphism taking $1^\bullet \otimes 1$ to 1 turns $\pi_T \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$ into the Poincaré conformal dilations π_T from Section 4, as one easily checks.

References

[A] L.V. Ahlfors, *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966. *Second Edition* with additional chapters by C.J. Earle and I. Kra, M. Shishikura, and J.H. Hubbard, *University Lecture Series*, V. 38, AMS, 2006, viii, 162 pp.

[C] J.W.S. Cassels, *Rational quadratic forms*, Academic Press, 1978, xii, 413 pp.

[H] J.H. Hubbard, *Teichmüller Theory and Applications to Geometry, Topology, and Dynamics. Volume I: Teichmüller Theory*, Matrix Editions, 2006, xx, 461 pp.

[I1] N.V. Ivanov, Arnol'd, Jacoby identity, and orthocenters, *American Mathematical Monthly*, V. 118, No. 1 (2011), 41–65.

[I2] N.V. Ivanov, A geometric interpretation of the complex dilatation, Preprint, 1995 and 2016.

[I3] N.V. Ivanov, The conformal dilatation and Beltrami forms over quadratic field extensions, Preprint, 2017.

January 21, 2017

https://nikolaivivanov.com

E-mail: nikolai.v.ivanov@icloud.com